



## **APASP Task Force Meeting**

Wednesday, December 13, 2017 | 12-2 p.m. | UC 329

Present: Chase Greenfield, Anisa Ricci, Ona Renner-Fahey, Laurie Fisher, Roz Haley, Stephanie Domitrovich, Elizabeth Putnam, Andrew Ware, Tom DeLuca, Paul Haber, Steve Schwarze, Braden Fitzgerald, John DeBoer, Scott Whittenburg, Beverly Edmond, Hillary Stowell, Nathan Lindsay, Claudine Cellier

### **MEETING MINUTES**

1. Call to Order
2. Approval of Meeting Minutes from 11/27, 28 and 30 – APPROVED
3. Discussion of Recommendations 1 and 2 of President Stearns' Draft Recommendations
  - a. Provost Edmond invited discussion about possibly revising the TF's charge to reflect the work on units in Category 1 the TF plans to conduct in early 2018. Provost would like to make sure President Bodnar understands the extension of timeline to allow for specific TF recommendations on programs placed in Category 1.
  - b. There seems to be a misunderstanding in Recommendation 2 because units with non-general fund budget sources already submitted reports and will be reviewed in Phase 2.
  - c. Question about timeline laid out in the President Stearns' Draft Recommendations. Provost Edmond asked the TF to determine what a feasible timeline for continued TF work might be.
4. Discussion of proposal from Framework subcommittee on the secondary part of the TF's charge.
  - a. Consider relationship of revised review process to institutional accreditation reporting cycle.
  - b. Introduction of notion of Phase 3 (yet to be defined)
5. Discussion of proposal from Metrics subcommittee on Phase 2.
  - a. What does it mean to put a program with no general fund dollars in Categories 1 or 3?
  - b. Redefine categories for Phase 2?
6. Additional reviewers for units in Phase 2? Phase 2 Reviews draft document put forth as a motion.
  - a. Agreement on points 1-3
  - b. Change point 4 to change deadline so period for voting goes from January 12-February 1
  - c. Point 5 – change language to say that each unit will be reviewed as those in Phase 1 were.
  - d. TF vote – UNANIMOUS APPROVAL to changes

- e. Update Phase 2 timeline accordingly
  - i. Discussion of target date for completion (by mid-March, by May?)
- 7. New Business
  - a. We still don't know what we're doing about Category 1
    - i. Must submit suggestions by January 11. TF would like to meet with President Bodnar to see if he wants TF to do this.
      - If President Stearns has already made recommendations, why should TF work on units in Category 1 now?
        - a. Additional funds are not going to be available for units in Category 1 immediately- before we can allocate resources to those units, we need to resolve UM's budget deficit.
        - b. Money for strategic investment will only come after deficit is resolved and new budget model implemented.
    - ii. Motion not to work on units in Category 1 any more than TF already has; seconded
      - TF Vote: 2 in favor, 8 opposed, 3 abstentions
    - iii. Motion to add to timeline – week of January 15 – TF requests meeting with President Bodnar about APASP, including discussion of TF recommendations on units placed in Category 1 during Phase ; seconded
      - TF Vote: 11 in favor, 1 opposed, 1 abstention
  - b. DeWitt Rite Care Clinic – submitted a minute too late. TF must decide – let them submit? How?
    - i. Vote to let them submit: Motion to allow them to submit and have Michelle enter report in XCD. APPROVED
      - Keep in mind the unit might get a new number, but it will get three reviewers and follow the usual process.

Meeting adjourned